Mini Dental Implants for the General Dentist: A Novel Technical Approach for Small-Diameter Implant Placement

Abstract: The first dental implants accepted by the profession were devised in the early 1940s as a means to provide a more stable alternative to standard dentures. The surgical placement of these implants, however, was quite invasive and other methods of dental implantation were soon developed. Bränemark revolutionized the field of oral implantology with his discovery that large-diameter, screwlike dental implants could achieve long-term osseointegration, and thus be used to successfully stabilize fixed prostheses. Innovations in dental implantology have continued. One noteworthy development is the Sendax Mini Dental Implant™ (MDI), a minimally invasive, small-diameter endosseous implant comprised of titanium alloy components and the only small-diameter endosseous implant to receive Food and Drug Administration approval for long-term dental prosthesis stabilization. Traditionally, these implants have been used as transitional devices for the stabilization of both fixed and removable dental prostheses. Recent evidence suggests, however, that MDIs may be suitable for long-term use. While follow-up study is needed to determine whether these findings are statistically significant over long durations, the current success has interesting implications for the future uses of MDIs. This article describes a novel technique for the placement of MDIs for long-term prosthetic stabilization. Because the procedure for placing these implants is minimally invasive, they can be used in patients who would normally be considered high-risk (eg, patients on anticoagulant or steroid therapy). In addition, the general dentist can master this technique with minimal training and surgical experience, significantly expanding his or her armamentarium.

Over the years, dental implantology has undergone a dramatic evolution.¹ Subperiosteal implants were introduced in the 1940s and 1950s.² This technique involved two separate surgical procedures and the placement of a cast framework that rested directly on the alveolar ridge. By the 1960s, the use of surgical-blade implants had become popular, largely because of the successes experienced by Linkow.³ By placing a thin blade through a trough in the alveolar ridge, Linkow was able to stabilize prostheses on abutments protruding through the mucosa. Mandibular staple-type implants were used in the 1970s and 1980s with varying degrees of success.⁴ These transosseous implants required an involved surgical procedure, usually including hospitalization and both intraoral and extraoral incisions.

The high complication rate seen among these early techniques⁵ was eliminated with the discovery of osseointegration and the development of
endosseous dental implants by Bränemark.\textsuperscript{6-8} These relatively large-diameter (3.5 mm to 5 mm) screw-type titanium implants enjoyed substantial long-term success during the 1980s and 1990s, and are still the most common type of dental implants used today. Since their inception, endosseous implants have been manufactured by many companies and in many different sizes, with different surface treatments, textures, and variations. Endosseous implants have displayed significant osseointegration over long durations, with stabilities approaching 90% and 100% in the maxilla and mandible, respectively, for some treatment modalities.\textsuperscript{9}

The high complication rate seen among early techniques was eliminated with the discovery of osseointegration and the development of endosseous dental implants.

Victor I. Sendax, DDS, developed the mini dental implant (MDI) system in the mid-1970s. His main purpose was to use mini implants as transitional devices in fixed-bridge salvage cases and also to stabilize loose, periodontally involved teeth.\textsuperscript{10} Substantial success in such transitional function has led to the use of small-diameter endosseous implants primarily in the healing phases of conventional larger-diameter endosseous implants.\textsuperscript{11,12} In addition to the Sendax Mini Dental Implant\textsuperscript{70} system\textsuperscript{9}, several other mini implant systems have been used in this manner. These systems include the Modular Transitional Implant (MTI) System\textsuperscript{1} and the Bicortical Screw\textsuperscript{8} Implant,\textsuperscript{7} among others. Although many of the other mini implant systems are well engineered, the IMTEC\textsuperscript{80} MDI system is the only one to achieve Food and Drug Administration (FDA) approval for long-term (nontransitional) use.\textsuperscript{13} Recently, the FDA has granted additional approval for long-term use in both fixed and removable prostheses. To date, no other small-diameter endosseous implant system has achieved this recognition.

A study by Balkin et al\textsuperscript{14} provided conclusive histological evidence that MDIs undergo osseointegration comparable to that of large-diameter implants. Given these findings, the researchers concluded that Sendax MDIs were suitable for ongoing applications. A biomechanical study published by Block et al\textsuperscript{15} confirmed that the pull-out strength and stress distribution of an implant correlates to the length of the implant, not its diameter, and concluded that small-diameter endosseous implants may be sufficient for prosthetic stabilization if the maximum-length implant is used in the procedure. Shatkin et al are currently assessing a long-term efficacy analysis of the Sendax MDI. This analysis will be based on the 3-year follow-up of approximately 1,000 MDIs placed to stabilize full maxillary and mandibular dentures, fixed bridges, and individual teeth.

There are many advantages to using the novel MDI placement approach outlined in this article. Similar minimally invasive, single-stage surgical procedures for larger-diameter implants have displayed numerous positive results, including reduced bleeding, decreased postoperative discomfort, and, most importantly, shortened healing times.\textsuperscript{16,17} Furthermore, the MDI protocol is designed for immediate loading, which provides instant results for the patient.\textsuperscript{18,19} In addition, MDIs may be used when there is not sufficient bone for larger-diameter implants, as in cases of reduced ridge width\textsuperscript{19,20} and single-tooth replacement.\textsuperscript{21}
Most importantly, the MDI is designed so the general dentist can successfully place the implants after completing a single Academy of General Dentistry–accredited training course,\textsuperscript{21,24} thus eliminating the need for a specialist’s referral.\textsuperscript{25} This final point proves particularly relevant in today’s general dental practice.\textsuperscript{26} Two computational modeling studies performed by Douglass et al.\textsuperscript{22,28} predict that the need for prosthodontic therapy will grow because of the increased life expectancy in the population aged 65 and older.\textsuperscript{29} General dentists should be aware of this changing demographic, and may wish to offer MDIs to their patients.

**Materials**

To successfully incorporate the MDI technique into the dental practice, these materials should be obtained: a minimum of four regular-thread mini-implants and MAX thread mini-implants in each available size; a surgical kit with instrumentation and drills; a high-torque surgical handpiece; a customized informed-consent form; an MDI model; spare O-rings; and ACCESS\textsuperscript{8,9} curved-bristle toothbrushes and oral hygiene care system for postoperative oral hygiene care.\textsuperscript{30}

**Methods**

**Step One: Candidate Selection**

The dentist’s first task when considering the MDI procedure is to select suitable candidates. These candidates include, but are not limited to, patients who: have difficulty wearing a lower denture, have a poor alveolar ridge, cannot tolerate a palate appliance on the maxilla, have a large torus palatinus or torus mandibularis, are concerned about denture appliance reliability in social settings, or simply want to feel more confident.\textsuperscript{31} Cost allows for the development of a much broader patient-selection base. Patients who have financial limitations may find the MDI procedure to be an excellent alternative, because the cost is significantly lower than conventional larger-diameter implants. An MDI denture stabilization procedure using four MDIs costs approximately a third as much as conventional implant stabilization.\textsuperscript{33}

The MDI protocol is designed for immediate loading, which provides instant results for the patient.

Patients on long-term steroid or anticoagulant therapy are good candidates for MDIs. Case reports\textsuperscript{4} and large-scale studies indicate that steroid-induced osteoporosis does not increase the risk for dental-implant failure.\textsuperscript{35,36} Furthermore, additional studies by Dao et al.\textsuperscript{37} indicate that implants are viable in patients with osteoporosis in any form. The minimally invasive MDI technique results in virtually no bleeding.\textsuperscript{36,37} A recent study by Herion et al.\textsuperscript{38} concluded that dental surgery on patients undergoing anticoagulant therapy is safe, provided that well-controlled surgical technique and “careful local hemostasis” is used. In addition, a 60-reference literature review concluded that patients’ anticoagulant therapy should be continued during dental procedures, because the interruption of such therapy resulted in a significantly higher morbidity and mortality rate.\textsuperscript{39} If an incision or flap is contemplated for these patients, the treating dentist should consult the patient’s primary physician before performing surgery.

When the dentist has established that a patient is a good candidate for the MDI procedure, he or she may elect to place the patient on broad-spectrum antibiotic therapy (eg, penicillin, cephalaxin, or erythromycin) 1
week before the procedure. Evidence suggests that preoperative prophylactic antibiotic treatment can significantly reduce implant failure rates.40-41

While some studies have indicated that other dental procedures (eg, extractions) may be performed at the time of implant placement,42,44 the following technique suggests a mutually exclusive approach for MDI insertion.

**Step Two: Radiographic Planning and Number of Implants**

A panoramic x-ray should be performed to locate and outline important anatomical landmarks, as well as to determine bone height, width, and density.45 In cases where poor ridge width is encountered, the authors recommend that a lateral cephalometric x-ray also be taken. For anterior mandibular denture stabilization cases, the locations of the canine, first bicuspid, and lateral incisors should be marked on the radiograph (Figure 1). Computerized tomography scans may be used for this procedure, but typically are not necessary in such simple treatment modalities.45

Next, depending on the size and type of the prosthesis, the number of implants that need to be placed should be determined. Traditionally, four implants are sufficient for full mandibular denture stabilization, while six implants are recommended to secure a full maxillary denture.26-40 When replacing individual teeth, one implant for each bicuspid or anterior tooth (as per traditional implant protocol),47 and two MDIs for each molar is recommended.48 When replacing adjoining missing teeth, the authors suggest splinting MDIs together with the fixed restoration whenever possible for increased long-term success.

**Step Three: Determine Appropriate MDI Size**

MDIs are available in 1.8-mm (standard thread) and 2.2-mm (MAX thread) diameters, and in heights of 10 mm, 13 mm, 15 mm, and 18 mm. The clinician should always use the longest MDI possible for the available bone.13 In Type I or II mandibular bone, standard-thread MDIs with implant lengths of approximately 75% of the total height of the available bone should be used. Penetration through the inferior border of the mandible with the implant must be avoided. In the maxilla and Type III mandibular bone, MAX thread MDIs with implant lengths of approximately 90% of the available bone should be used.48 Implant lengths must be determined carefully; penetration into

---

**Table 1—Width Determination Using the Misch-Judy Classification Scheme**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Division</th>
<th>Quality</th>
<th>Buccal-Lingual Width</th>
<th>MDI Placement Ramifications</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>Abundant</td>
<td>&gt; 5 mm</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>Sufficient</td>
<td>2.5 to 5 mm</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-W</td>
<td>Deficient (width)</td>
<td>&lt; 2.5 mm</td>
<td>Incision or mucosal flap</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>Atrophic</td>
<td>Minimal</td>
<td>Not suitable for MDI placement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---
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the sinus or nasal cavity may cause inflammatory complications and implant failure.49-51

In addition to the parameters already mentioned (bone height and density), bone width also must be determined. Under the Misch-Judy classification scheme for divisions of available bone in implant dentistry, the edentulous site can be categorized into four divisions, A through D, based on several anatomical considerations: width (w), length (l), height (h), angulation (α), and crown/implant ratio (>1) (Table 1 and Figure 2).52 When C-w (width-deficient) bone is encountered, an incision or flap is recommended to ensure accurate implant placement. In cases of severely atrophic bone (type D), MDI placement is not recommended.

**Step Four: Denture Marking and Transfer (Shatkin Technique)**

This novel approach, developed by the primary author, uses a surgical skin-marking pencil to determine accurate implant placement. For example, in the case of full-mandibular-denture stabilization, the dry denture is marked between the canine and first bicuspid posteriorly and in the area of the lateral incisors anteriorly. Next, the patient’s arch is dried and the denture is placed in the patient’s mouth. After removing the denture, the marks should transfer to the alveolar ridge. These transfer spots then should be darkened with the skin marker (Figures 3A and 3B). These marks will guide the remainder of the procedure.

**Step Five: Infiltration Anesthesia**

After the marks have been transferred, infiltration anesthesia should be used between the periosteum and the bone.53 While a local anesthesia block of the inferior alveolar nerve is indicated in most dental procedures to eliminate somatosensory perception of the mandibular anatomy, infiltration anesthesia is preferable when placing MDIs. This approach affords the patient continued sensation of the inferior alveolar nerve, which in turn allows the patient to offer feedback during the procedure, and thus decreases the chance of potential nerve parasthesia.53 Local anesthetic is injected on the mark, buccal to the mark, and lingual to the mark at each location (Figure 4).

**Step Six: Creation of Pilot Hole**

Per standard operative protocol, care should be taken to use sterile surgical techniques.54 Appropriate tissue preparation (using betadine) or preoperative oral rinsing (with chlorine dioxide), and surgical draping should be used.

After an endodontic rubber stopper is placed on the pilot drill as a depth gauge (Figure 5), the dentist drills to the proper depth (Table 2 and Figure 6), carefully measuring two thirds, one half, or one third the length of MDI to be placed.
placed, depending on the patient's bone-density type. When Type III bone is encountered, an MDI MAX thread implant should be used. This will increase the surface area available for osseointegration of the implant. When Type IV bone is encountered, MDIs are contraindicated, because very low-density bone is a risk factor for implant failure.

The pilot drill is used to carefully puncture through the tissue down to the bone, without drill rotation. After locating the bone surface, with the drill on, a pilot hole is drilled with a tapping motion using copious irrigation (Figure 7). The drill must be kept at the proper angulation in the bone. Improper drill angulation can result in limited prosthetic options.

Overinstrumentation of the receptor site must be avoided. As previously cited, Balkin et al. established that MDIs undergo osseointegration comparable to that of large-diameter implants. This process requires several months of implant-bone interface. Additionally, these researchers discovered that the implants were able to be loaded immediately if they were "turned into bone through a starting opening but not a prepared site." In this manner, the MDI acts much like an osteotome. By increasing peri-implant bone density, this "auto-advance" technique allows MDIs to sustain an immediate functional load. It is, therefore, a sine qua non of this procedure. In the event that a pilot hole is accidentally drilled to the full length of the implant, the MDI can be used only as a transitional implant, and will not be suitable for ongoing or long-term stabilization of the prosthesis.

**Step Seven: Implant Insertion**

The provided plastic cap is used to deliver the implant to the site. The implant must not be touched under any circumstances, because this will contaminate the sterile implant surface. Using the provided plastic cap, the implant is inserted into the pilot opening through the gingiva to the bone and rotated clockwise with strong, downward pressure until firm bony resistance is met. Care should be taken to insert the MDIs properly, avoiding angulation toward the roots of adjacent teeth.

Insertion of the mini-implant with the titanium finger driver should be continued as far as the clinician can go (Figure 8), then the winged thumb wrench should be used for additional leverage (Figure 9). At this point the implant will "auto-advance" as it is turned. The winged thumb wrench should be turned slowly, until firm, bony resistance is met. If the implant is turned too fast at this point, it may fracture. If the mini-implant can be completely inserted with the winged thumb wrench, it should continue to be turned until all of the threads are subgingival. If too much resistance is met, as is often the case in the extremely dense bone of the anterior mandible, the ratchet wrench can be used for the final few turns (Figure 10). The ratchet wrench should be used only in this situation (ie, for the final few turns of the implant). Very slow incremental turns with short rests between turns will allow full implant insertion without bone stripping or implant fracture. If very heavy resistance is met, the implant should be backed out immediately and the pilot hole made deeper, or a shorter implant should be used. The ratchet's rotation should never be forced, or the implant will snap at the neck. Vertical pressure should be constantly applied on the head of the ratchet wrench in the direction of desired insertion. Complete the insertion of all MDIs—one at a time—so that no threads are supragingival. Approximately 1 mm to 1.5 mm of the square neck portion should remain above the mucosa (Figures 11 and 12).
Step Eight: Denture Placement and Prosthetic Technique

As a general rule, denture positioning should be as close to the original plan as possible. With a pear-shaped, denture-adjustment laboratory bur, holes should be placed in the patient's denture at the premarked locations (Figure 13). O-ring housing abutments should be placed on the implant O-balls (Figure 14). The patient's denture should then be tried in for full seating. This should be a passive seating of the denture. Blockout shims should be placed on the MDIs to prevent the acrylic or resin from locking onto the implant surface during removal. The holes in the denture should then be filled with implant housing-attachment resin or pink cold-cure acrylic (Figure 15). Before the acrylic or resin sets, the denture should be placed on the O-ring housings and seated firmly. The patient should bite down to seat the denture and hold the bite for about 3 to 5 minutes, until the resin or acrylic sets. Next, the denture appliance should be removed and the security of the housing in the denture should be assessed (Figure 16). Flowable resin (light-cured), cold-cure acrylic, or cyanoacrylate should be added if any housings are loose. Excess material should be trimmed and the tissue surface of the denture should be smoothed to avoid creating sore spots. The borders of the denture should be shortened 1 mm to 2 mm to avoid causing sore areas in the vestibule.66

Step Nine: Postoperative Instructions

The patient should be scheduled for an appointment 24 hours after placement. He or she should be instructed to ice the affected area extraorally during the first 24 hours and rinse with warm salt water several times during this period. Most importantly, the patient should wear his or her denture continuously for the first 24 hours. The patient should be told not to remove the appliance, even if it is a removable partial denture, until the dentist has had an opportunity to inspect it at the follow-up appointment. This will allow the tissue around the MDIs to heal without advancing around the implant necks and O-balls.

Step Ten: Follow-up

At this postoperative appointment, the patient's occlusion should be checked and the denture should be adjusted. The patient should be instructed to wear the denture as much as possible until his or her next appointment. It is very important that the dentist see the patient at 24 hours, 3 days, and 1 week postoperatively, and to be available at other times as needed for the patient during the first few weeks after the MDI procedure.58 Frequently, additional adjustments are necessary during this critical period.59

The dentist should see the patient again every 3 months for the first year, and every 6 months for the second year and thereafter. The patient should be fully informed of the necessity for strict adherence to this postoperative examination period.60,61 The patient should also be educated on proper oral hygiene care and have recall prophylaxis appointments, including professional cleaning of the prosthesis and implants. Careful attention should also be directed to the integrity of the O-rings during this time. Typically, the O-rings need to be replaced every 12 months.

Other Applications of the MDI System

The efficacy of the small-diameter endosseous implants for transitional use has been well established.10-12 Bulard62 describes his experiential success for many of the long-term stabilization procedures traditionally reserved for the more invasive, large-diameter implants,
including: long-term stabilization of fixed and removable prostheses (Figure 17); fixed bridge salvage cases (when an abutment tooth is lost or broken); the retention of partial dentures, Cuspil® dentures, and wireless partials; for single-tooth replacement (recommended only for teeth with mild occlusal forces, ie, lateral and central incisors); for distal abutment work (replacement of free-end saddle partial dentures with fixed crown-and-bridge work); and for pier abutments (to break up a long-span bridge and provide additional support). Continuing clinical trials are under way for these treatment modalities.

**Conclusion**

The advantages of small-diameter endosseous implants over their large-diameter counterparts are clear. While continued use of large-diameter implants certainly will be necessary and preferred for many cases, the recent FDA approval of the Sendax Mini Dental Implant™ for long-term use has provided a significant clinical alternative. Using the technical procedure outlined in this article, the authors' practices have experienced considerable success. A preliminary statistical examination of implants placed with this technique has yielded results comparable to those of large-diameter implants. These results—in addition to those found in previous MDI osseointegration and biomechanical studies—point to the potential, successful long-term use of the MDI. This potential has profound implications, especially when considering that the placement protocol has been designed expressly for the general dentist, with minimal surgical experience necessary. By attending a single mini-residency or seminar training program, general dentists should be capable of placing MDIs and, thus, able to introduce mini-implant dentistry into their daily practice.62

**Disclosure**

Dr. Todd Shatkin is a paid consultant to and provides seminar course instruction and mini-residency programs on behalf of IMTEC® Corporation on the use of the Sendax MDI™ system.

**References**

18. Horiuchi K, Uchida H, Yamamoto K, et al. Immediate loading of Bränenmark system implants following place-
1. What characteristics should diabetic patients exhibit to be recommended for implant treatment?
   a. They should be in metabolic control.
   b. They should have antibiotic protection.
   c. They should avoid smoking.
   d. all of the above

2. When placing in which of the following can longer implants be used without modification?
   a. temporal rim
   b. superior orbital rim
   c. zygomatic arch
   d. maxillary sinus

3. Several studies found that small titanium screws were able to function as rigid osseous anchorage against orthodontic load for 3 months when the healing period was how long?
   a. immediate
   b. less than 3 weeks
   c. 6 weeks
   d. 3 to 4 months

4. How many MDIs equal one traditional implant in surface area?
   a. 0.5
   b. 1
   c. 2.5
   d. 4

5. There has been a suggested critical threshold of which of the following, that above which fibrous encapsulation dominates over osseointegration?
   a. penetration
   b. implant width
   c. implant surface area
   d. micromotion

6. Failure point tests on MDIs found the average fracture point of all MDIs to be:
   a. 35.7 Ncm.
   b. 47.3 Ncm.
   c. 64.2 Ncm.
   d. 74.8 Ncm.

7. Failed sites in D1 bone can be re-entered after healing for how long?
   a. immediately
   b. 3 to 4 weeks
   c. 3 to 4 months
   d. never

8. Too much lingual inclination can cause what problems?
   a. floor of the mouth penetration
   b. O-ring housings being outside of the lingual flange contour
   c. unacceptable path of insertion for the denture
   d. all of the above

9. What does the vertical movement of the O-ring housing accommodate?
   a. gingival sulcus flushing with saliva
   b. mucosal compression under forces of mastication
   c. acrylic or porcelain denture teeth
   d. space for the silane coupling agent

10. Occlusal forces on a cusp tip of a first molar can reach:
    a. 25,000 psi.
    b. 50,000 psi.
    c. 75,000 psi.
    d. 100,000 psi.